

## **Equality Impact Assessment**

Name of Project	Temporary Accommodation Placements Policy	Cabinet meeting date <i>If applicable</i>	18 October 2016
Service area responsible	Housing Commissioning, Investment & Sites Service		
Name of completing officer	Nick Smith/Martin Gulliver	Date EqIA created	21 March 2016
Approved by Director / Assistant Director	Dan Hawthorn	Date of approval	30 September 2016

The Council has a public sector equality duty under the Equality Act 2010 to have due regard to:

- eliminate discrimination, harassment, victimisation and any other conduct that is prohibited by or under the Act; ;
- advance equality of opportunity between people who share a 'protected characteristic' and people who do not;
- foster good relations between people who share a relevant 'protected characteristic' and people who do not.

The 'protected characteristics' are age, disability, gender reassignment, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief, sex (formerly gender) and sexual orientation. They also cover marriage and civil partnership with regards to eliminating discrimination.

Haringey Council also has a 'Specific Duty' to publish information about people affected by our policies and practices. All assessments must be published on the Haringey equalities web pages. All Cabinet papers <u>MUST</u> include a link to the web page where this assessment will be published. This Equality Impact Assessment provides evidence for meeting the Council's commitment to equality and the duties outlined above, for more information about the Council's commitment to equality; please visit the Council's website.

Stage 1 – Names of	those involved in preparing the EqIA	
1. Project Lead	Nick Smith/ Martin Gulliver	5.
2. Equalities / HR	Kathryn Booth	6.
3. Legal Advisor	Robin Levett /Michelle Williams	7.

# Stage 2 - Description of proposal including the relevance of the proposal to the general equality duties and protected groups.

This report relates to the Council's approach to meeting the housing needs of households requiring temporary accommodation, including those that share the protected characteristics. Members are being asked to approve the Temporary Accommodation Placements Policy. The Policy is informed by the overall Supply Plan, which identifies a shortage of supply in the borough. The Policy therefore provides criteria for the placement of households in temporary accommodation within and outside of the borough and sets out the support that will be provided for households placed outside of London.

It is of relevance to the Council's Equality Duty as the demographic profile of those in housing need has a high proportion of those within the protected groups, and because applicants who meet none of the criteria under the Policy for being offered accommodation in the borough are likely to be offered properties outside of Haringey and in the neighbouring boroughs, other parts of London or outside London.

Stage 3 – Scoping Exercise - Employee data used in this Equality Impact Assessment				
Data Source	What does this data include?			
Not Applicable	The proposed Temporary Accommodation Placements Policy does not impact on Haringey Council employees (unless residents of the borough and are also homeless or likely to become homeless) and thus included below.			

Stage 4 – Scoping Exercise - Service data used in this Equality Impact Assessment

### Individuals and Households

An assessment based on households captures only the characteristics of the head of household. As members of the household will have different characteristics, the following analysis has been made on all the individuals living in temporary accommodation rather than the head of the household. This has allowed inclusion of partners and children into the assessment. However, it should be noted that family units have been used for the analysis of family structure in the gender section.

### Data used in this Analysis

This Equalities Impact Assessment has used available data to assess individuals who were living in temporary accommodation on 29 February 2016, at the time when the first draft assessment was being prepared.

### Assessment of work status

One priority for placement in neighbouring boroughs is based on the work status of each member within a household, and to assess a household against these criteria, full work status is needed for each working age adult within that household.

From the sources available, full work status data was held on 2,102 households and only partial or no work status on the

remaining 1,070 households. The placement criteria on working hours could therefore only be completed on 2,102 households. The analysis has been applied to the cohort of 6,758 individuals who live within these 2,102 assessable households.

This cohort represents 61.5% of all individuals living in temporary accommodation and has a similar gender, age and ethnicity profile as the whole population of temporary accommodation. These profile comparisons are shown in the following tables.

mporary accommodation	the Cohort
<b>6,280</b> 57.7%	3,953 58.5%
4,611	2,805
	41.5% 6,758
	<b>6,280</b> 57.7%

Age	All individuals living in temporary accommodation	Individuals within the Cohort	Ethnicity	All individuals living in temporary accommodation	Individuals within the Cohort
0-16	5,268	3,422	Asian	756	419
0-10	48.4%	50.6%	Asian	6.9%	6.2%
16-24	1,506	790	Black	3,796	2,446
10-24	13.8%	11.7%	DIACK	34.9%	36.2%
25.24	1,540	1,015	Chinese or Other	1,422	871
25-34	14.1%	15.0%	Ethnic Group	13.1%	12.9%
35-44	1,505	954	Mixed	396	304
33-44	13.8%	14.1%	Mixed	3.6%	4.5%
	842	464	Netlyneywe	1,303	708
45-54	7.7%	6.9%	Not known	12.0%	10.5%
55.04	175	84	\A/I=:+ =	3,218	2,010
55-64	1.6%	1.2%	White	29.5%	29.7%
65+	<b>40</b> 0.4%	<b>21</b> 0.3%	Total	10,891	6,758

Total <u>Assessment of</u> One priority for provide taking exa and it has been 17 and recorded Anyone over 18 It is accepted th but also that sor
One priority for p to be taking exa and it has been 17 and recorded Anyone over 18 It is accepted th
be taking exams
ata Source

EqIA Profile on Harinet	Age, gender, ethnicity, disability information – for the Council and the Borough
Internal Crystal report: HW0116 Households in Temporary Accommodation	Date of Birth and ethnicity of all individuals in temporary accommodation, including family members. Taken 29 February 2016 Cross referenced with reports HW0137 & TA0181 below
Internal Crystal report: HW0137 Single Vulnerable Adults	Vulnerabilities in Single Households in temporary accommodation, including Pregnancy, Physical & Mental Health, Learning Difficulties, Ex-offenders, Substance Misuse, 16/17 year olds and Leaving care. Cross referenced with reports HW0116 above & HW0137 below.
Internal Crystal report: TA0181 Households in Temporary Accommodation	Nationality, Family Structure & Housing Benefit and Net Rent, of Homeless Households in Temporary Accommodation over the previous 6 months, as of 29 February 2016. Cross referenced with reports HW0116 & HW0137 above.
ONS LC3304EW - Provision of unpaid care by age (Census 2011) <u>ONS</u> LC3304EW	Provision of Unpaid Care by age and borough

	Positive	Negative	Details			None - why?
Gender		$\checkmark$	percentage of fen borough or in neig	ghbouring boroughs. The cohort including children.	t the priority to remain in the se comparisons include all	
			Gender	All individuals within the	Individuals in cohort	
			(Individuals)	cohort 3,953	with no priority 1,490	
			Female	58.5%	62.4%	
		Male	2,805 41.5%	898 37.6%		
		Total	6,758	2,388		
		Gender	All Cohort			
		50%				
		40%				
		30%				
		20%				
			10%			
			0% +	Female	Male	

Positive Negative	Details		
	As the majority of househol lone females with dependa the impact of different famil observed that 'Lone female of the families, but 77.9% of priority to remain in the bor	nt children, this analys y structures. In this as s with dependant child f those families who w	is has also assessed sessment it is ren' represent 62.7% ould not be given
	Families in Cohort Family Type	All families in cohort	Families in cohort without priority
	Couple with dependant children	195 9.3%	39 4.8%
	Couple with no dependant children	11 0.5%	2 0.2%
	Lone female	58 2.8%	18 2.2%
	Lone female with dependant children	1,319 62.7%	636 77.9%
	Lone male	49 2.3%	15 1.8%
	Lone male with dependant children	82 3.9%	28 3.4%
	Other	388 18%	78 10%
	Total	2,102	816

	Positive	Negative	Details	None – why?			
			Lone parent families are more likely to have informal support in the local area, and may also find it more difficult to return to the borough for medical, schooling and other reasons due to child care commitments. This may also affect the continuity of schooling for children who are not taking GCSE, A/O or A' Levels.				
Gender Reassignment			Information on gender reassignment is not available in relation to the proportion of households presenting as homeless, accessing temporary accommodation or on the council's housing register. However, the Temporary Accommodation Placements Policy is not anticipated to have a disproportionate impact on this protected group.	V			
Age		~	Children under 16 make up 48.4% of individuals in Temporary Accommodation and 50.6% of those in the cohort. The Policy will therefore affect this age group and the percentage of those aged under 16 (55.9%) is significantly higher in those who would not be given priority. There is also a small difference in those individuals aged between 25 to 34 who will not be given priority (15.0%) compared with the cohort (16.7%).				
			This difference is in contrast to that for those aged 35-44 and 45-54 who together represent 8.1% of the sample but 5.2% of those not given priority.				

Individuals in cohort         All individuals within the cohort         Individuals in cohort with no priority           0-16         3,422         1,335           0-16         50.6%         55.9%           16-24         790         228           16-24         11.7%         9.5%           25-34         1,015         399           25-34         15.0%         16.7%           35-44         14.1%         12.5%           45-54         6.9%         4.4%           55-64         1.2%         0.8%           65+         21         3	Positive Negative	Details	tails			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c } \hline (individuals) & cohort & with no priority \\ \hline 0.16 & 3,422 & 1,335 \\ \hline 0.16 & 50.6\% & 55.9\% \\ \hline 16-24 & 11.7\% & 9.5\% \\ \hline 16-24 & 11.7\% & 9.5\% \\ \hline 25-34 & 1,015 & 399 \\ \hline 25-34 & 15.0\% & 16.7\% \\ \hline 35-44 & 954 & 298 \\ \hline 35-44 & 14.1\% & 12.5\% \\ \hline 45-54 & 6.9\% & 4.4\% \\ \hline 55-64 & 84 & 18 \\ \hline 55-64 & 1.2\% & 0.8\% \\ \hline 65+ & 21 & 3 \\ \hline \end{array} $		Individuals in coho	ort			
$ \begin{vmatrix} 0.16 & 3,422 & 1,335 \\ 50.6\% & 55.9\% \\ \hline 16-24 & 790 & 228 \\ \hline 16-24 & 11.7\% & 9.5\% \\ \hline 25-34 & 1,015 & 399 \\ \hline 25-34 & 15.0\% & 16.7\% \\ \hline 35-44 & 14.1\% & 12.5\% \\ \hline 45-54 & 6.9\% & 4.4\% \\ \hline 55-64 & 84 & 18 \\ \hline 55-64 & 1.2\% & 0.8\% \\ \hline 65+ & 21 & 3 \\ \end{vmatrix} $						
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		(individuals)				
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		0-16				
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		0-10				
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c } \hline & & 11.7\% & & 9.5\% \\ \hline & 25-34 & & 1,015 & & 399 \\ \hline & 25-34 & & 15.0\% & & 16.7\% \\ \hline & 35-44 & & 14.1\% & & 12.5\% \\ \hline & 45-54 & & 464 & & 105 \\ \hline & 45-54 & & 6.9\% & & 4.4\% \\ \hline & 55-64 & & 1.2\% & & 0.8\% \\ \hline & 65+ & & 21 & & 3 \\ \hline \end{array}$		16-24				
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		10-24				
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c }\hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$		25-34				
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c } \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $		20-04				
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c }\hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$		35-11		298		
45-54     6.9%     4.4%       55-64     84     18       65+     21     3		55-44				
6.9%         4.4%           55-64         84         18           65+         21         3		15-51		105		
55-64     1.2%     0.8%       65+     21     3		40-04				
1.2% 0.8% 65+ <b>21 3</b>		55-64		_		
		55-04		0.8%		
		65+		-		
0.070 0.170		0.0+	0.3%	0.1%		
Not Known         8         2		Not Known	_	—		
0.1% 0.1%			0.1%	0.1%		
Total         6,758         2,388		Total	6,758	2,388		

	Positive	Negative	Details							None – why?
			Age 60% 50% 40%		■ All col	nort	<b>■</b> Wi	thout Priori	ity	
		care as this da of households with 9.8% for t	ta is unav aged 25 to nose ageo	sis has not assessed th is unavailable. Howev ged 25 to 34 year olds	lowever, olds pro . There	the criteria around provisi ever, from the census 201 s provide unpaid care, con here will therefore be a low gested by the above analy	2011, 5.0% e, compared a lower			
Disability		✓	The Temporary adversely affect priority to rema health condition outside of borc	y Accomm at those w ain in the b and me	nodation I ith severe porough fe	Placeme e disabili or those	nts Polic ties as th with sev	cy is not one policy rere and rere treatn	expected to sets out a enduring nent or care	√
			Some disabled the policy. Ho moves unduly	wever, ind	lividual as	ssessme	nts will s	seek to d	etermine if	

	Positive	Negative	Details			Nc W
Race & Ethnicity	given priority is broadly the cohort. However, t Black ethnicity who wo 36.2%) and a correspo Ethnicity (25.4% not g These comparisons in children.		he proportion of individuals who would not be ly similar to the proportion of all individuals within there is a slightly higher percentage of those with a ould not be given priority (41.1% compared with ondingly lower percentage of those with a White given priority compared with 29.7% of the cohort). Include all individuals in the cohort including			
			Individuals in cohort			
			Ethnicity	All individuals within the cohort	Individuals in cohort with no priority	
			Asian	419 6.2%	105 4.4%	
			Black	2,446 36.2%	981 41.1%	
			Chinese or Other Ethnic Group	871 12.9%	289 12.1%	
			Mixed	304 4.5%	136 5.7%	
			not known	708 10.5%	270	
			White	2,010 29.7%	607 25.4%	
			Total	6,758	2,388	

	Positive	Negative	Details	None why?
			Ethnicity	y.
			= All cohort = Without Priority	
			50%	
			40%	
			30%	
			20%	
			10%	
			0%	
			Asian Black Chinese or Mixed not known White Other Ethnic Group	
			Placement out of London may have an adverse affect on access to cultural facilities and links to established communities.	
Sexual Orientation			Information on sexual orientation is not available in relation to the proportion of households in temporary accommodation. However, the Temporary Accommodation Placements Policy is not anticipated to have a disproportionate impact on this protected group.	$\checkmark$
Religion or Belief (or No Belief)			Information on religion is not available for those in temporary accommodation. However, it is noted that for those in temporary accommodation who may be moved out of the borough, distance to appropriate religious institutions and should be taken into account when assessing each client.	

	Positive	Negative	Details			None why?
Pregnancy & Maternity		~	in the borough 14 pregnant w	h. It is also noted that on vomen living in Temporary se, 8 pregnant women wh s.	re on maternity leave to remain 30 August 2016 there were only 7 Accommodation and 11 in the 10 would not be given priority for 10 Individuals in cohort	
			Pregnancy	the cohort	with no priority	
			Total	11	8	
				t new parents are likely to taken into account when	need higher support levels and assessing each client.	
Marriage and Civil Partnership (note this only applies in relation to eliminating unlawful discrimination (limb 1))			There is no information for individuals in temporary accommodation on their marriage/civil partnership status. However, it is noted that single parent households will be affected and may have more need for local support networks, which should be taken into account when assessing each client.		~	

# Stage 5b – For your employees and considering the above information, what impact will this proposal have on the following groups:

Positive and negative impacts identified will need to form part of your action plan.

	Positive	Negative	Details	None – why?
Sex	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable	The proposals will not impact on staff
Gender Reassignment	As above	As above	As above	As above
Age	As above	As above	As above	As above
Disability	As above	As above	As above	As above
Race & Ethnicity	As above	As above	As above	As above
Sexual Orientation	As above	As above	As above	As above
Religion or Belief (or No Belief)	As above	As above	As above	As above
Pregnancy & Maternity	As above	As above	As above	As above
Marriage and Civil Partnership (note this only applies in relation to eliminating unlawful discrimination (limb 1))	As above	As above	As above	As above

Stage 6 - Initial Impact analysis	Actions to mitigate, advance equality or fill gaps in information
The Council will, wherever possible, seek to acquire affordable temporary accommodation within the borough and in neighbouring boroughs. However, where there is insufficient affordable	Haringey Council has consulted on the Temporary Accommodation Placements Policy and possible mitigation measures formed a key part of this consultation.
temporary accommodation, placing families in borough may cause them excessive financial	Following consultation, Homes for Haringey is finalising the support package, which has been amended in the light of consultation
hardship. Where sufficient affordable temporary accommodation is not available the Council will have to seek alternative accommodation further afield. The Council will seek to minimise the number of households placed outside of the borough.	The outcomes of the approved Temporary Accommodation Placements Policy will include an assessment of the impact on the protected groups and the support packages. This annual appraisal will give particular attention to those households who have been placed in accommodation in neighbouring boroughs and, exceptionally, out of London. The policy and the annual monitoring reports will be publically
The Placements Policy criteria will ensure that the	available.
most vulnerable groups are given priority for in- borough and neighbouring borough placements. However, the EqIA identifies that some protected	Mitigations
groups may be disproportionately affected by the policy.	Support will be offered to help finding a school for children in the new area, together with a capped payment for households to assist with the costs of re-locating outside of London. Households will be allowed to
Lone female households with dependent children will be particularly affected as they represent 77.9%% of all households who won't meet the	use this money on their own priorities, including immediate expenses such as school uniforms.
priority. This is household breakdown is also reflected in the Age profile with those under 16	Distance to appropriate religious institutions should be taken into account when assessing each client. The support package includes

Stage 6 - Initial Impact analysis	Actions to mitigate, advance equality or fill gaps in information
representing 55.9% of individuals not being given priority.	help to find local community groups / religious groups.
There may also be an impact on location of placement with access to religious institutions.	Priority is given to households with severe and enduring health conditions and mental health problems where treatment or care outside of borough would be detrimental to their health or wellbeing.
Those receiving support may also have more barriers to moving to new locations.	Following consultation, additional support has been added to those with low level support. Individual assessments will also seek to determine if moves unduly affect each household and to consider any issues raised. The support package also includes help to re-connect with health services.
Out of borough placements may have a negative impact for certain protected groups, for example, disruption to schooling for families with dependent children, separation from cultural and religious facilities which may have a larger effect on smaller communities, and loss of local support networks.	

Data Source (include link where published)	What does this data include?
The consultation	Demographics of respondents
Consultation on the Temporary Accommodation Placements Policy commenced on 13 <sup>th</sup> July and ended on 7 <sup>th</sup> September 2016. All residents of emporary accommodation on 13 <sup>th</sup> July 2016 were	There were 369 responses split fairly evenly between on-line and paper replies. This represents 12% of all households in temporary accommodation.
either sent an email or a letter inviting them to	Of all respondents:
comment on the placement priorities and support backage. There were also four drop-in sessions at Russell Road temporary accommodation unit, and at Wood Green and Marcus Garvey libraries.	<ul> <li>The majority (64%) were aged 25-44, 27% aged 45-64 and 5% preferred not to say or did not supply an answer.</li> <li>21% recorded themselves as having a disability with 12% preferred not to say.</li> </ul>
he consultation focused on the following areas:	• Seen in terms of broad ethnic categories, the most numerous respondents were Black or Black British African (31%) or Caribbean (12%), and then White British (8%). However, 17%
<ul> <li>Criteria for placement within the borough</li> </ul>	preferred not to say or did not respond.
<ul> <li>Criteria for placement in a neighbouring borough</li> <li>Support packages and length of support</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The majority of respondents (71%) were female and 25% were male. 4% preferred not to say or did not respond.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Whether the policy should apply to all</li> </ul>	Views of Respondents on Placement Criteria
residents of temporary accommodation or just new applicants	All placement criteria were supported with at least 63% of all respondents supporting each criterion and no more than 16% against A significant proportion (12% to 21%) gave no response.
	<ul> <li>Additional criteria suggested for in the borough placement included;</li> <li>The length of time someone has been in temporary</li> </ul>

Data Source (include link where published)	What does this data include?
Data Source (include link where published)	<ul> <li>accommodation or living in Haringey (20 respondents)</li> <li>Families with children at schools in Haringey (18 respondents)</li> <li>Single parents with kids who rely on support from family / friends in Haringey in the borough (10 respondents)</li> <li>People who have suffered physical abuse/domestic violence (5 respondents)</li> <li>Someone who needs support and/or supervision (3 respondents)</li> <li>Someone who needs support and/or supervision (3 respondents)</li> <li>Someone who needs support and/or supervision (3 respondents)</li> <li>Those that are receiving help for addiction and those in recovery in Haringey (2 respondents)</li> <li>Adults with learning difficulties but not a severe mental health issue (2 respondents)</li> <li>Pensioners with financial hardships(2 respondents)</li> <li>Pregnant woman (2 respondents)</li> <li>Pregnant woman (2 respondents)</li> <li>These additional criteria were mirrored in criteria suggested for placement in neighbouring boroughs. However, additional criteria suggested included those who are:</li> <li>apprentices (4 people)</li> <li>in adult or formal education or university (3 people)</li> <li>self employed (2 people)</li> <li>have an confirmed offer of employment (2 people)</li> <li>those actively seeking work in Haringey (2 people)</li> <li>Views of Respondents on the Support Package</li> </ul>
	Respondents were also asked to rank various support packages.

Otomo 7. Consultation and follow we date from actions act above		
<b>Stage 7 - Consultation and follow up data from</b> Data Source (include link where published)	What does this data include?	
	Although many respondents used this to score each criteria rather than ranking, there showed strong support for help in finding new schools, employment and child care with 230, 199, 178 respondents giving this a ranking of 1-3. Lower priority was given to reconnections (103), financial support for travel (83) and help finding local groups (68).	
	Additional support packaged focused on travel expenses to get back to work in Haringey / London (14), to get children to school (10) and to medical appointments (6). A further 7 suggested financial support to help them settle into the new place	
	50% of respondents believed that 6 months was an adequate time for support, and only 23% disagreed. The remaining respondents did not offer any preference.	
	In addition to the formal responses, the drop-in sessions were well attended and allowed extended conversation with residents of temporary accommodation. A repeated concern raised by residents was that they were unhappy with another temporary move, and especially outside London, but were more favourable if the move was a final move to a settled home.	
	Views of Respondents on the application of the policy Finally, there was an even split in support for whether the placements policy should be applied to all residents of temporary accommodation, or just to new applicants.	

Stage 7 - Consultation and follow up data from actions set above				
Data Source (include link where published)	What does this data include?			

### Stage 8 - Final impact analysis

Under the proposed Temporary Accommodation Placements Policy, placement outside of London would be a measure of last resort and will affect around 50 households in 2016/17 rising to 400 a year in 2019/20. However, in situations where placement out of London is unavoidable due to the limited availability of affordable temporary accommodation, and placement in London could cause a family unacceptable financial hardship, a clear package of support will be agreed to mitigate the impact for these households.

Following consultation, the Council has added additional placement criteria based on needs identified in the consultation. These new criteria support those in adult education, apprentices, and self-employed, and for those receiving support for lower level needs including those recovering from addictions. Suggested placement criteria not based on need, such as length of time living in temporary accommodation or Haringey, have not been accepted.

In the light of responses on support, the support package has been revised to include assistance to ensure the continuity of welfare benefits. As financial based support for travel was not a priority for respondents, this has been replaced by a single payment to assist households with the immediate costs of re-locating.

As highlighted in the consultation, the primary concern for both selection criteria and support was around education. The data shows that housing crisis disproportionately affects lone female parents who 63% of households, but 78% of those households who would not be given priority to remain in the borough or in neighbouring boroughs. The support package includes assistance with school admissions in the new area.

The consultation asked respondents about the length of time that relocating households should receive support for and 50% of respondents considered that six months was a reasonable period of time, whereas 23% did not. However, it it has been identified that providing support earlier, pre-move, is the best way to mitigate the impact of moving. It is clear that many of

the support elements need to be addressed some weeks and months before the actual move takes place – for example utility connections, or assistance with welfare benefits or school places. This type of support must be provided effectively well within the six months previously envisaged and ideally be in place from the first day of the move or very soon after. For this reason, it is envisaged that support will be initiated immediately a potential move is identified, likely to be 3-4 months before the actual move.

This will provide an easier transition for relocating households and mitigate some of the potentially adverse impact of moving more effectively than the previous approach. Also, support will need to be highly tailored to meet a particular household's needs. This more flexible and tailored approach will allow for discretion in individual cases rather than working to defined time period; however, it is expected and overall the duration of support is likely to be six months, but this will differ according to household needs.

This EqIA will be updated annually in line with the equalities monitoring for these policies and monitored on an annual basis under the Council's Corprate Priority Five reporting and monitoring.

# Stage 9 - Equality Impact Assessment Review Log Review approved by Director / Assistant Director Review approved by Director / Assistant Director Date of review Date of review

### Stage 10 – Publication

Ensure the completed EqIA is published in accordance with the Council's policy.